

47

LOM Khashaki
NOVA-42~~Stephanie Levitt - Emerson~~

FLIGHT: B

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 257

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP

ASAP

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning SJ**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
DWNamePTS (100-70)

Soren Jensen

99NamePTS (100-70)

Daniel Wu

98**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**Low Point Win? NoJUDGE'S SIGNATURENOVA 42
JUDGE'S AFFILIATIONAff - Soren

- good confidence
- good questions during crossfire
- good job attacking the counter-plan
- need more support for contention #3
- good rebuttal. you did a great job saying the importance of environmental ethics and how pragmatism was flawed
- Yes, I believe that you proved the aff can better solve the current problem.
- speak a little slower
- Great Job!

Neg - Daniel

- good questions during crossfire
- need more evidence about how much the fossil fuel subsidies help increase the quality of life for the poor
- need to make counterplan more clear
- not able to weigh
- need to support more ideas about CCS, since the affirmation proved that CCS is ineffective
- you did not address any of the current environmental problems
- great Job!

42

Joey Giancanelli - Kudos Leadership

FLIGHT: A

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 557

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP

ASAP

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning OC

Name

PTS (100-70)

1 Olivia Cha

90

Negative: Nova 42 Academy PT

Name

PTS (100-70)

2 Paige Tang

95

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

won the idea that the world is not ready
to switch safely and efficiently.

44

Nova 42 Judge 3 - Nova 42**FLIGHT: A**

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 307

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP**ASAP****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning OZ**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership JWNamePTS (100-70)

Olivia Zhao

92NamePTS (100-70)

Julianne Wu

98**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Solid debate. I vote neg for two reasons, Neg delinks Aff 3rd contention about water access. The Neg is right, the US is not a developing country, it's already developed. The Nigeria example is non topical. Furthermore, I don't see even considering the value result of higher natural gas prices. The Aff case sounds promising, but the switch to renewable energy & lowered emissions is predicated on a big if. Neg gives me probable alternatives that OPEC or US oil companies pumping out more oil to fill the gap. This is a huge contention that the Aff doesn't respond to strong enough. The CP provides & even guarantees the solvency the Aff aspires to, while in tandem avoiding many of the harms unique to the resolution.

45

Joey Giancanelli - Kudos Leadership

FLIGHT: B

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 557

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP

ASAP

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy EP

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning CZ

Name

PTS (100-70)

Name

PTS (100-70)

2, Ethan Peng

98

2 Connor Zhao

96

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Won the Argument between the poor Americans
or lives of the world.

49

Nova 42 Judge 3 - Nova 42**FLIGHT: B**

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 307

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP**ASAP****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
NC**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning JMNamePTS (100-70)

Nathan Chen

95NamePTS (100-70)

James Miller

100**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg - Brilliant contentions & great de link of IMF Projections
I don't have any critiques, you really knew your case.

Aff - Good speaker, & solid case. But the rebuttal of Price fluctuation & increased oil use was lacking, I don't get any guarantee of your solvency if these two points are not sufficiently refuted.

Neg perhaps should have gone with the value of pragmatism, morality didn't really tie in with the case, but regardless I think Neg wins on the Aff value anyways. Price uncertainty & increased oil production & coal are very convincing. The only issue I think Aff wins on is lobbying, but it weighs less out of all the contentions. Aff needed to do more refuting the Neg case rather than just reaffirming what I've already heard in the Aff case.

43

Fares Abdullah - Kudos Leadership

FLIGHT: B

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 255

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP

ASAP

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning NH

Negative: Nova 42 Academy AP

Name

PTS (100-70)

Nelson Hu

78

Name

PTS (100-70)

Andy Pan

77

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? no

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

The Affirmative won based on rebuttal & based on the Neg accepting rare earth minerals as solution.

The Neg's harm of rare earth being the alternative to Aff's harm of ~~low~~ CO₂ emission did not defeat the Aff's big picture harms

46

Fares Abdullah - Kudos Leadership

FLIGHT: A

Open Lincoln Douglas - Sat 12/14 Pattern A 10:30-12:00- Debate Round 2

Room: E3 255

2019 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Tournament

11/ASAP

ASAP

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy JJ

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning SB

Name

PTS (100-70)

Jeremiah Jung

80

Name

PTS (100-70)

Sansur Borijn

79

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? 10

Fares Abdullah

Kudos Leadership

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- The Affirmative → did a very good job of pushing a post fossil fuel world impact
- The Negative needed to focus on how short term subsidies of natural gas helps get the post-fossil fuel world
- The Affirmative effectively pushes back against the Neg's advocacy of natural gas alternatives.

Aff won or rebuttal and big picture impact